

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/530,751	04/08/2005	Jun Hagihara	Q87381	7326	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 11/18/201 55.550	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW	PATTON, SPENCER D			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3664		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/18/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

SUGHRUE265550@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/530,751	HAGIHARA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SPENCER PATTON	3664		

	SPENCER PATTON	3664						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFA 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above; if checket. A vry reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Impliant Americanient (102-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the tollowing rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.5 and 6</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected. 1-3.5 and 5. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 43(3)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/KHOI TRAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664	/SPENCER PATTON/ Examiner, Art Unit 3664							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, on pages 14-18 with respect to the 35 USC 11/2 first paragraph rejection of claims 1-3, that one of ordinary skill in the art would know that "10 proof to correspond to the case in which a command cannot be differentiated (for example, a step command), therefore, the filter order N is to be 4 or more (paragraph (Do030))* is a showing that "1 = (The order of denominator of the transfer function = 4)- (the minima value of the order of the command = 0) = 4.* The cited portion of the specification makes no mention of this equation for arriving at N and provides no indication of how N was arrived at. The secification only provides a theoretical value of N.

Applicant also argues that paragraph [0055] indicates that "N is equal to or greater than a value defined by subtracting an order (2nd-order, since the command is 2-order differentiable in this embodiment) of the command from L (4th-order)." However this paragraph only indicates the

Applicant argues, on pages 18-20 with respect to the N-order filter processing section, Arithmetic unit, "N is equal to or greater...", and claim 3, that there are differences between the teachings of Yamamoto and the present invention. However these differences are not in the claims

Applicant argues, on page 20 with respect to claim 2, that the speed control part 3 of Yamamoto does not correspond to the claimed Morder filter. However the claim does not differentiate the Morder filter from the position control part 3 of Yamamoto.